

Governance Group Meeting MINUTES

Meeting Information

Time:	4.30 – 6.00 pm
	<u>'</u>
Date:	Tuesday, 17 October 2017
Location:	Room 5.16, Majestic Centre, 100 Willis Street, Wellington
Present:	Raewyn Bleakley (NZTA)
	Fergus Gammie (NZTA)
	Mayor Justin Lester (WCC)
	Cr Chris Laidlaw (GWRC)
	Cr Barbara Donaldson (GWRC)
In Attendance	Barry Mein (Alliance Director)
	Kevin Lavery (WCC)
	Adam Nicholls (WCC)
	Greg Campbell (GWRC)
	Luke Troy (GWRC)
	Peter Clark (NZTA)
	Phillip Eyles (NZTA)
	Paula McArthur (LGWM)
	Connie Rowe (LGWM)

Minutes

Item	Description	Actions
1	<u>Apologies</u>	
	Cr Chris Calvi-Freeman (WCC)	
	Mayor Wayne Guppy (UHCC)	
	David Chick (WCC)	
	Emma Speight (NZTA)	
2	Minutes of 19 September 2017 Governance Group meeting	
	Minutes from the previous meeting held on 19 September 2017 were accepted as a true and correct record.	
	There were no matters arising	



3	Mass transit report	
	The Executive Summary and Conclusions of the consultants draft report were discussed. The Alliance Director noted that the final report was subject to some further edits and is expected to be finalised later in the week. It will be circulated to members once available.	
	There was discussion on whether the Executive Summary was sufficiently clear on the steps that need to be taken. While the key conclusions are included in the report, the key recommendations and pathway forward could be expressed more sharply in the Summary.	
	There was agreement that the engagement material should highlight the key conclusions from the report in relation to the need to identify a pathway to mass transit, which includes the triggers for future investments (related to demand and urban development). AGREED:	
	a) That the Alliance Director liaise with WSP to finalise the report and that it be circulated to the Governance Group once available	ВМ
	 That the engagement material refer to the key conclusions in the report and the need to establish identify a pathway to mass transit within the scenarios 	ВМ
	 c) That WSP be invited to present the report as part of the engagement process 	ВМ
4	Scenario cost estimates and impacts The Alliance Director presented an outline of estimates of local share and potential ratepayer impact of each of the scenarios (attached).	
	The Governance Group agreed that, given the uncertainties relating to future funding arrangements and how costs might be shared between the NLTF and local share, estimates of ratepayer impact should not be included in the engagement material.	
5	Public engagement approach and draft material	
	The Governance group was presented with an outline of the engagement material. The discussion included the following comments:	
	Summary page: this could shift to the end of the document, and present the key changes as the opportunities they open up, rather than as infrastructure projects; and add a section on mass transit. The introductory text should focus more on the story and the outcomes we are trying to achieve, rather than talking about interventions or any detail about scenarios. This should	
	highlight the focus on PT, and also talk about mass transit. The text should also spend less time on what has gone before.	
	 Our response: leave out economic growth: just liveability Scenario A: make it clear this involves remodelling of the 	
	Golden Mile	
	 Scenario B: make it clear that PT improvements require road investment 	



Scenario C: discuss the cut & cover in the context of the things it delivers, rather than as an engineering project **Scenario D:** highlight what changes we could expect on the Quavs Costs: include scaled pie charts but split by activity class (e.g. show PT separately). Don't include ratepayer impact Impacts: include something on timeframes **Principles:** review the text to make sure the assessment does not take too narrow a view (e.g. heritage/future potential; and travel choice needs to include better PT choices) Questions: review the "how much do you like this scenario" slider, and possibly replace with a slider-type question relating to the key trade-offs in each scenario (e.g. something like our earlier propositions). Re-phrase the what do you like/dislike with what do you see as the scenario's strengths/weaknesses? Basin: don't include a bridge diagram, but introduce the text by referring to it and the fact that it was rejected by the Board of Inquiry; and we have identified some alternatives, some of which are illustrated here... Photos: Agreed that some of the images displayed should be used, but with a focus on those that are not just infrastructure but also include some placemaking/people/activity as well. Mass transit: have this on its own page (similar to the Basin); re-order to deal with it first (before the Basin); revise the text to reflect the need to determine a pathway to mass transit with triggers that relate to both demand and urban redevelopment. Include some MT cross-sections (similar to the bus ones shown, but with a more obviously tram-like vehicle) AGREED: a) That the engagement period should run from 15 November BM to 15 December b) That a revised version of the engagement material, taking account of the issues raised at the meeting, be circulated to BM the Governance Group. c) That Governance Group direct any further comments on the material through their Alliance Board members in advance ΑII of the next Board meeting on 26 October. d) That the material be used as the basis for hard-copy document and material on the website BM

6 Other business

There was no further business.

the public release

That a joint councillor workshop be scheduled to present the scenarios and engagement material to councils prior to

BM